http://www.economy.nayka.com.ua/?op=1&z=8730https://doi.org/10.32702/2307-2105-2020.12.19

UDC005.963:005.95/.96

Olga BANIT
Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Senior Researcher,
Professor at the Management Department of Vadym Hetman Kyiv National Economic University
Senior Scientific Worker atthe Andragogy Department ofIvan Ziaziun Institute of Pedagogical and Adult Education NAPS of Ukraine
Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail: olgabanit@gmail.com
ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9002-6439

Malgozhata FRANTS
Doctor of Sciences in Pedagogy,
Institute of Social Sciences of the College of Business and Health Sciences
Lecturer at the Pedagogy Department
Lodz, Poland
E-mail: malgorzatafranc@gmail.com
ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0923-4538

IMPLEMENTING COACHING TECHNOLOGY IN ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Olga BANIT, Malgozhata FRANTS

Abstract: The article substantiates the relevance of coaching technologies and the need for a comprehensive methodology for implementing them within the management systems of modern organizations operating in highly turbulent business environments. The limitations of traditional directive management methods are demonstrated and clearly distinguished from coaching and related staff development practices (mentoring, consulting). The author proposes and details a four-stage matrix for the systemic integration of coaching into companies' operational activities: from diagnosing corporate culture readiness to implementing tools into HR processes and measuring efficiency (ROI/ROE). Key psychological and organizational barriers to management system transformation (leadership resistance, lack of trust, learned helplessness effect) are identified, and recommendations for overcoming them are provided. It is substantiated that transitioning to a coaching management style enables an organization to become a learning organization.

Keywords: business coaching, coaching technologies, corporate culture, human-centered approach, learning organization, professional development.

Introduction

The modern philosophy of human resource management involves fostering a positive work environment, encouraging a culture of collaboration, leveraging powerful analytical tools, and empowering employees to manage their own career trajectories. Modern organizational coaching technologies help build the HR management function's capacity, thereby driving the achievement of organizational goals. The study finds that coaching is a goal-oriented process spanning various spheres of life and professional activity, arising at the intersection of psychology, management, philosophy, logic, and practical life experience. Conceptually, coaching can be understood as "collaborative achievement" or "developmental counseling."(Skorobagatska, 2021). Coaching in Ukraine has gained the most traction within the professional consulting sector; forward-thinking managers are increasingly adopting it as an HRM method to effectively cultivate employee motivation.

Scientific sources review

Recent scholarly research emphasizes that coaching technologies are becoming an integral component of organizational management systems due to their ability to enhance employee potential, leadership development, organizational change, and business process efficiency. Scholars conceptualize coaching as a holistic management and organizational development approach that facilitates both individual and systemic transformation (Bond & Seneque, 2012). Contemporary studies highlight coaching as an innovative human resource management technology that promotes self-development, leadership, motivation, and professional competence among managers and employees (Varina et al., 2023; Skorobagatska, 2021). In the context of digital transformation and Industry 4.0, researchers stress the growing importance of integrating coaching and consulting technologies into organizational processes to improve innovation, adaptability, and project performance (Kopytko, 2024). Moreover, technological advancement has expanded coaching practices through digital platforms, virtual communication, and technology-supported performance evaluation, creating new opportunities and challenges for organizational coaching implementation (Pascal et al., 2015). At the same time, effective implementation of coaching systems requires structured methodological approaches, managerial engagement, adaptability, and adequate organizational resources (Kapatsyna, 2022; Rushton et al., 2022). Overall, the literature demonstrates that coaching technologies function not only as tools for personnel development but also as strategic mechanisms for improving organizational effectiveness and sustainable management practices.

The analysis of contemporary scientific literature indicates that, despite the growing recognition of coaching technologies as effective tools for organizational development and personnel management, there remains a lack of comprehensive methodologies for their systematic implementation within organizational management systems, particularly to overcome managerial resistance and ensure measurable organizational effectiveness.

Therefore, **the goal of this paper** is to bridge the gap between traditional

directive management and human-centered leadership by developing an actionable methodology for implementing coaching technologies, evaluating its qualitative and quantitative efficiency, and providing strategic recommendations to mitigate organizational resistance and employee inertia.

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative, systemic, and methodological-modeling research design to examine the integration of coaching technologies into organizational management systems. To achieve the study's objectives, a multi-stage conceptual analysis was conducted, moving from a critical literature review and conceptual demarcation to the synthesis of an applied managerial framework (the four-stage implementation matrix).

Theoretical Foundations of Coaching in Management

Within the contemporary management paradigm, coaching technologies are understood not as an alternative to classical management functions but as a specific, human-centric toolkit for optimizing the process of realizing the potential of a company's human resources. Unlike traditional administration, coaching within the organizational management system is an interactive process between a manager (or an internal/external coach) and a subordinate, designed to enhance job performance, stimulate self-directed learning, and develop the employee's professional autonomy(Pascal et al., 2015). The methodological essence of this approach lies in rejecting the directive transmission of ready-made solutions in favor of facilitating thinking. By utilizing coaching technologies through a system of specialized tools (the technique of 'powerful questions,' active listening, and non-judgmental feedback), the manager stimulates the employee's awareness, encourages them to independently search for optimal ways to solve tasks, and elevates the level of their personal responsibility for the final result(Varina et al., 2023).

For a precise scientific positioning of coaching within the organizational management system, it is necessary to establish its demarcation from adjacent types of professional support and personnel development, such as mentoring, consulting, and classical directive leadership. The key criterion for differentiation lies in the dimension of expertise distribution and subject-subject relations:

- Classical management is based on a rigid hierarchy, where the manager acts as the primary source of control, instructions, and performance evaluation (vertical 'subject-object' interaction);
- Consulting involves engaging an external expert who analyzes the organization's problem and provides a ready-made, professionally justified solution, meaning that expertise is entirely concentrated on the consultant's side;
- Mentoring relies on the transmission of unique practical experience from a more experienced colleague (mentor) to a less experienced one (protégé), where the learning process occurs through the transmission of ready-made behavioral models based on the 'do as I do' principle(Kopytko, 2024).

Coaching, conversely, is a strictly partnership-based, horizontal interaction ('subject-subject'), where the coach does not offer ready-made solutions and does not act as an expert in the client's subject area(Kopytko, 2024). The foundational

postulate of coaching asserts that the employee already possesses all the necessary resources to solve their own professional tasks, and the objective of coaching technologies is to create a cognitive space for their elicitation and systematization.

Thus, integrating coaching technologies into the organizational management system enables diversification of the management approach. It ensures a transition from instructive management to a developmental relationship. This lays the theoretical foundation for building a 'learning organization,' where every employee becomes a proactive contributor to achieving the business's strategic goals.

Stages of Implementing Coaching Technologies into the Organizational Management System

An organization's transition from a traditional directive management model to coaching interaction cannot occur chaotically. It demands a clear, staged, and logically consistent methodology. Since coaching affects deep layers of corporate culture and alters leaders' habitual behavioral patterns, the process of its systemic integration into the management architecture must be carried out through four interconnected stages(Kapatsyna, 2022).

Stage 1 – Diagnostic. Any systemic transformation begins with a comprehensive assessment of the company's current state. This stage involves auditing the existing management style and evaluating the corporate culture's readiness to accept changes. Utilizing surveys, anonymous questionnaires, and in-depth interviews with top management, researchers determine the level of trust within teams, leaders' willingness to delegate authority, and the presence of psychological safety among the personnel. The outcome of this stage is a clear understanding of resistance points and the formulation of target metrics (KPIs/OKRs) that the company aims to achieve through coaching.

Stage 2 – Competence Development. The core of the methodology is developing coaching competence among the company's leaders. Training is implemented through a top-down approach – from executive leadership to line managers. Leaders master the foundational philosophy of the approach and practical tools: the architecture of the GROW model, techniques for formulating open and powerful questions, the methodology of non-directive feedback, and active listening skills. Simultaneously, a pool of internal coaches is established, or external experts are engaged to supervise the managers' initial steps, thereby minimizing the risk of reverting to the habitual command-and-control style

Stage 3 – Integration of Coaching into HR Processes and Operational Activity. To prevent coaching from remaining an abstract theoretical concept, its tools are integrated into the organization's daily operations. The coaching approach becomes an obligatory element of the onboarding system for new employees, regular One-on-One meetings, and personnel appraisal and development procedures (Performance Review). Classical directive meetings are partially replaced by facilitation and coaching sessions in which teams collaboratively seek solutions to business challenges. The management model is transformed at the regulatory and job descriptions levels.

Stage 4 – Evaluative and Outcome-Oriented. At the final stage, monitoring,

regular measurement of the methodology's implementation results, efficiency assessment, and system adjustment are conducted. The evaluation is carried out in two primary directions: qualitative (changes in the employee Net Promoter Score, reductions in staff turnover rates, improvements in the organizational microclimate) and quantitative (impact on departmental business indicators and project delivery speed). Adapted models for evaluating the return on training investments are utilized (for instance, evaluating behavioral changes using D. Kirkpatrick's model or calculating the Return on Expectations [ROE] indicator). Based on the acquired data, the methodology is adjusted to meet the business's changing needs.

An attempt to skip any of these stages (for example, initiating integration into processes without prior leadership training or a cultural audit) transforms a systemic implementation into merely another one-time initiative, which rapidly fades away and triggers internal resistance from the personnel.

Barriers and risks in implementing coaching technologies

The systemic integration of a coaching approach into the management architecture of organizations inevitably encounters a complex of destructive factors that can significantly delay or entirely eliminate the impact of transformation. Understanding these barriers enables executives and HR directors to proactively develop mitigation strategies, thereby minimizing business risks. Practice demonstrates that the majority of obstacles lie not in the technical dimension but in the realm of human psychology and entrenched organizational habits. Among the key barriers arising during the implementation of the proposed methodology, the following stand out: resistance from line management, a lack of organizational trust and psychological safety, and mental barriers and employee passivity(Bond & Seneque, 2012;Rushton et al., 2022).

Resistance from line management is one of the most prevalent challenges. Traditional-generation managers often perceive coaching as a threat to their authority and to their control mechanisms. Transiting from the position of 'I know best, and I give directives' to 'I ask questions and facilitate finding solutions' requires a high level of emotional intelligence and the abandonment of directive dominance. Managers accustomed to achieving rapid, albeit short-term, results through commands often perceive a lack of time for coaching sessions, considering them an inefficient use of resources.

A lack of organizational trust and psychological safety also significantly impacts the effectiveness of implementing coaching technologies. They prove entirely unviable within a rigid, punitive corporate culture. If an organization punishes every mistake and treats initiative as a liability, employees will withdraw during coaching interactions, providing 'safe' or expected answers, and avoiding authenticity. Without an atmosphere of psychological safety, where a mistake is viewed as a developmental zone, coaching degenerates into a formal and manipulative interrogation tool.

Mental barriers and employee passivity foster learned helplessness. Prolonged exposure of workers to a directive management system shapes a habit of being mere executors. When a manager suddenly begins to use coaching questions to

encourage a subordinate to make independent decisions, it can induce stress, anxiety, or irritation in the former executive, following the principle: 'You are the manager – you tell me what to do.' Overcoming this inertia in thinking requires considerable time and the gradual expansion of the employee's sphere of responsibility.

The primary risk of implementation is 'facade coaching' – a situation in which a company declares a transition to a coaching management style through slogans and regulations, while top managers' actual behavior remains authoritarian. This creates cognitive dissonance within the workforce, entirely erodes trust in leadership, and discredits the very concept of human-centric management.

The effectiveness of coaching integration depends directly on the organization's systematic, consistent actions, which must progress from a deep diagnosis of corporate culture and leadership training to the full implementation of coaching tools within operational HR processes. At the same time, the successful implementation of this methodology is impossible without recognizing and proactively mitigating key barriers: managerial resistance, a lack of organizational trust, and personnel's mental passivity. Only by overcoming these destructive factors and fostering an atmosphere of psychological safety can a company mitigate the risk of 'facade coaching' and ensure a genuine, long-term effect from the transformation of management systems

Conclusions

The synthesis of the theoretical foundations and practical aspects of this study allows us to state that the systemic integration of coaching technologies into the organizational management architecture is an objective requirement of the modern human-centered business paradigm. Under conditions of high market turbulence, traditional directive management methods reveal their institutional limitations, whereas coaching offers a flexible methodology rooted in subject-to-subject interaction, the actualization of employees' internal potential, and the development of their professional autonomy. A clear delineation between coaching and related practices (such as consulting and mentoring) demonstrates its unique facilitative nature: it is aimed not at conveying ready-made experience or expert solutions, but rather at transforming cognitive patterns and stimulating proactive thinking among personnel.

In conclusion, it should be emphasized that coaching in organizational management is not merely a set of applied techniques or tools for localized problem-solving, but a fundamental philosophy of contemporary leadership. It fundamentally alters the role of the manager, transforming them from a controller into a strategic partner and a facilitator of development. The institutionalization of the coaching approach across all management levels enables a company to become a Learning Organization, where the continuous development of human capital becomes the primary source of sustainable competitive advantage and long-term strategic viability.

Prospects for further research in this area hinge on transitioning from general methodological frameworks to applied modeling and the design of holistic coaching programs tailored to the specifics of various types of business organizations.

Developing differentiated coaching programs for companies with varying levels of corporate culture maturity, as well as for specific business verticals (e.g., the IT sector, retail, or manufacturing enterprises), represents significant scientific and practical interest. A distinct vector for future inquiry should be an empirical study on the long-term impact of such comprehensive programs on organizational psychological stamina and resilience under conditions of crisis-induced changes. Furthermore, an urgent task for subsequent scholarly pursuits is the refinement of mathematical and analytical models to evaluate the effectiveness of implemented coaching programs, particularly by integrating modern digital tools and HR metrics to precisely calculate Return on Investment (ROI) and Return on Expectations (ROE). This will provide the business community with not only a theoretical foundation but also clear, applied algorithms for designing a coaching environment.

REFERENCES

Bond, C., & Seneque, M. (2012). Conceptualizing coaching as an approach to management and organizational development. *Journal of Management Development*, *32*(1). https://doi.org/10.1108/02621711311287026

Kapatsyna, A. (2022). Methodological Recommendations for the Implementation of the Coach-Mentoring System in Order to Develop the Professional Competencies Of Managers. *Public Administration and Law Review*, 3(11), 116–122.

Kopytko, M. (2024). Utilizing coaching and consulting technologies in personnel management to enhance the efficiency of business processes, project and innovative activities of enterprises considering the impact of Industry 4.0. NaukovyivisnykLvivskohoderzhavnohouniversytetuvnutrishnikhsprav, (2), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.32782/2311-844X/2024-2-6

Pascal, A., Sass, M., & Gregory, J. B. (2015). I'm only human: The role of technology in coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, *67*(2), 100–109. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000025

Rushton, S., Lewinski, A. A., & Hwang, S. (2022). Barriers and facilitators to the implementation and adoption of improvement coaching: A qualitative evidence synthesis. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *32*(1–2), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.16247

Skorobagatska, O. (2021). Prerequisites for the coaching technologies origin in the staff management system. Fizyko-matematychnaosvita, (1), 18–22. https://doi.org/10.31110/2413-1571-2021-027-1-003

Varina, H.B., Kovalova, O.V., & Huz, N.V. (2023). Coaching as an innovative technology of human resource management. *Dniprovskyinaukovyichasopyspublichnohoupravlinnia, psykholohii, prava*, (2), 67–76. https://doi.org/10.51547/ppp.dp.ua/2023.2.10