

**ZLYVKOV. V.**

*PhD in Psychological sciences, Associate Professor, Head of Laboratory of methodology and theory of psychology Institute of Psychology NAPS, Kyiv.*

**DYKHOVYCHNYI. O.**

*PhD in Physico-mathematical sciences, Associate Professor National Technical University "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Kyiv.*

**KRUGLOVA. N.**

*PhD in Physico-mathematical sciences, senior lecturer National Technical University "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Kyiv*

**LUKOMSKA. S.**

*PhD in Psychological sciences, senior researcher of Laboratory of methodology and theory of psychology Institute of Psychology NAPS, Kyiv.*

**KOTUKH. O.**

*junior researcher of Laboratory of methodology and theory of psychology Institute of Psychology NAPS, Kyiv.*

### **USING THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL MODELS TO THE TEACHER'S AUTHENTICITY SCALE ADAPTATION**

*Zlyvkov V., Dykhovychnyi O., Kruglova N., Lukomska S., Kotukh O. Using the multidimensional models to the teacher's Authenticity scale adaptation. Authenticity is an important quality of the English language teachers who, along with identity, forms the basis of their professional competence. However, in Ukraine there are no adapted diagnostic methods for personality authenticity study. This article deals with the peculiarities of the use of multidimensional models for adaptation of foreign psychological questionnaires are considered. The concept of teacher's authenticity is analyzed, in particular in the context of professional identity and emotional well-being. The modern item response theory is described as due to the focus of the theory on the item, as opposed to the test-level focus of classical test theory. Thus IRT models the response of each examinee of a given ability to each item in the test. According to the results of the reliability check of Authenticity Scale in the Ukrainian translation, this methodology is a reliable tool for authenticity research ( $\alpha = 0.93$ ). The multidimensional models are used for tasks that allow a step-by-step interpretation, namely this method is the Authenticity Scale (A.M. Wood, P.A. Linley). It is concluded that teachers are characterized by an average level of authenticity, which testifies to the understanding of the teachers of their true self, but the impossibility of its best to show, due to certain requirements for professional pedagogical activities.*

**Keywords:** *item response theory, multidimensional models, teacher's authenticity, psychological well-being, pedagogical measurements.*

**Introduction.** The new Ukrainian school is in its consolidation phase. Modern reforms are intended to optimize teachers and students interaction,

therefore the problem of the teacher's authenticity development becomes relevant. Authenticity is an important quality of the teachers who, along with identity, forms the basis of their professional competence. However, in Ukraine there are no adapted diagnostic methods for personality authenticity study. In such circumstances, adaptation to the Ukrainian sample of Authenticity Scale (A.M. Wood, P.A. Linley, 2007) is very relevant.

**Analysis of literature data and problem definition.** The term "authenticity" became widespread in psychology in the second half of the twentieth century in connection with the development of humanistic and transpersonal psychology. By this period he was not actually used to be replaced by the terms "self", "identity of the individual", "I", "identification", "identity" and studied in the context of the problems of personality self-consciousness. According to S.Freud a person becomes a social subject through identification (the earliest manifestation of an emotional connection with another person, imitation and likeness to his parents). Authenticity is correlated with the archetype of the Shadow, as well as with the notion of personalization. Personalization is the process of person's evolution and, consequently, the personality's shadows, which is at the same time the process of involution of its image. The need for personalization is the need to be someone, to be a person; at the same time, as a result of the process of personalization, a person becomes: more closed, more separated from other people; less capable of empathy, empathy in relationships with other people; less prone to self-expression, revealing others to their own psychological problems, less congruent. Unlike personalization, personification does not manifest itself in an effort to be a person, but to try to be yourself. The process of personification is characterized by the refusal of the individual from the "masks," increasing the integrity of the individual, increasing the level of congruence and empathy, increasing the overall authenticity. Hence, the "personification syndrome" forms: positive insignificance, empathy and congruence. In Bugental's theory the notion of "subjectivity", under which, in essence, is understood the inner human nature [3].

The introduction of the term "identity" into social analysis and its widespread in social sciences occurred in the United States in the 1960s. The term of identification was drawn from the original, specific psychoanalytic context and correlated with the ethnic belonging, where tolerance, in particular ethnic, is seen as a manifestation of the formed positive identity (the condition of which is the social interdependence), and with theories of sociological role and group standard [7]. The group to which the individual belongs directly or indirectly forces him to follow such a style of behavior that considered to be characteristic of group members and to avoid the non-peculiar group behavioural styles. R. A. Sherman considered identity in the context of interpersonal competencies, which primarily relate to the ability of an individual to perform various social roles [6].

Authenticity is a variant of moral identity that is determined either by socio-cultural norms, or a source of self-realization. We pay special attention to the notion of personal authenticity, emphasizing that it is manifested predominantly in problem situations, when it is necessary to make own choices, focusing on

human's own priorities and values [3]. It is not necessary to idealize the authentic existence: the presence of "masks" in communication allows maintaining interpersonal relationships with significant internal resource savings.

Despite the numerous studies of authenticity, there was no clearly defined functional link between authenticity and personal identity, under such conditions a detailed study of various aspects of their use.

The humanistic model of authenticity, proposed by G. Barrett-Lennard considers authenticity as a sequence between three levels: the primary human experience, a symbolic understanding of this experience and behavior, directed from the outside and communications. At the interface between these three levels, the main elements of authenticity are defined: self-alienation (discrepancy between cognitive understanding and the actual experience of the individual); authentic life (a correspondence between the conscious perception of their own characteristics and emotional reactions of the individual), the tendency to external influences (acceptance of representations of others and the adoption of external influence) [5].

Item Response Theory is a set of methods that allows us to assess the probability of the correct answer of the subjects being studied for problems of varying complexity. It is used to get rid of non-informative questions in the survey and leave questions with adequate scores based on their complexity. IRT models can be categorized by the number and form of responses received. The simplest model is G. Ras's model with a dichotomous answer ("yes" or "no"). In the case of even four or five variants, as before, only one answer option can be considered correct, and the rest is incorrect. Another class of models applies to political outcomes, where each answer has a different value. The multilevel task can be considered as a multi-step, for which the respondent can receive from 0 to  $m$  points. In order to reach the higher category  $m$ , the respondent must consistently overcome  $m$  steps, for the correct performance of each of which he receives a certain score. The difficulty of each step in the general case is different and does not depend on the complexity of the implementation of other steps. A typical instrument of political polling results is widely used in psychology Lykerta scale, where variants of responses are estimated, for example, from 1 to 5 [1]. Polytomic models are often used in psychological and pedagogical testing. In particular, they are used for tasks that require a sequence of steps in execution (tasks that allow step-by-step interpretation) [6]. In such models, a probabilistic hierarchy is used. For example, an investigated with a higher level of readiness (latent variable) with a higher probability will give correct answers to all test tasks (indicator variables), compared with the subjects with lower level of preparedness. Conversely, the probability of a correct answer to a lighter test task is higher than the probability of a correct answer to a more difficult task for each subject. IRT models built on probabilistic judgments provide wider possibilities for analyzing test data. Such models allow more precisely to separate both the subjects being studied and to give a more accurate assessment of the test indicators. Due to the relatively simple structure of the models, there are effective computational procedures to check the adequacy of the model: for the entire set of test results, for each subject, for each

task and for each specific answer. According to O. Kardanova, the very model of IRT is most suitable for constructing the test as a measuring instrument [2].

For a long time, authenticity or authentic personality was discussed in the psychological literature through the lack of authenticity or false behavior, which relates to the hiding of one's actual thoughts, making individuals say what others want to hear instead of what they truly think [3]. Today the concept is related to the thoughts, emotions, needs, desires, preferences, and beliefs about themselves, which results in actions consistent with these experiences.

**The purpose and objectives of research.** There is a need to analyze the problem of Ukrainian's teacher's authenticity.

**Main material and research results.** Participants were 220 teachers (115 women and 105 men) with an average age of 39,5 years ( $SD = 2,4$ ). This research was conducted during 2016, the data were collected in writing, each respondent marked the answers on the form using the evaluation scales.


#### *Measures.*

**Authenticity.** *The Authenticity Scale* [7]. The scale is a self-report questionnaire which is relatively short and designed for use in counseling psychology settings. Twelve questions are included in the questionnaire; four questions to assess each of the three components of authenticity with participants expressing agreement on a 1 (does not describe me at all) to 7 (describes me very well) scale. Questions from the Authenticity Scale (A.Wood, et al., 2008) include: Self-alienation, Authentic living, Accepting external influence.

**Well-being.** *The scales of psychological well-being* [3]. Self-report scale designed to measure psychological well-being. The 84-item instrument consists of six subscales: Autonomy, Environmental mastery, Personal growth, Positive relationships with others, Purpose in life, and Self-acceptance. Each subscale consists of 14 items divided approximately equally between positive and negative items. Participants respond on a 6-point scale that ranges from "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (6). Certain items are reverse coded. Scores are summed and subscale scores are obtained. The total score is the sum of the 84 items. Higher scores indicate higher psychological well-being within the respective dimension.

In order to assess and verify the factor structure of the questionnaire exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis (EFA, CFA) were used. For the analysis of scales, descriptive statistics, frequency analysis, correlation relations analysis using the Spearman correlation coefficient rank were used. The reliability assessment is based on the determination of the internal consistency of the method, its parts and individual scales (coefficient alpha ( $\alpha$ ) Kronbach). Mathematical data processing was performed using SPSS V. 21.

**Results of research and their discussion.** The application Bartlett's Test of sphericity for the Authenticity Scale (Wood, Linley) showed the possibility of using EFA:  $\chi^2 (66) = 28915,21$ ,  $p < 0,001$ , and according to the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy the sample size is adequate (0,86).



**Figure 1.** Exploitation factor analysis for the Authenticity Scale

All points of the questionnaire are one factor - that is, it completely authenticates the concept of authenticity. The following three factors were obtained for three factors: 4.92, 1.62 and 1.51. Such results were detected in 77,10 % of all respondents. That is, in this method it is possible to allocate three subscales (as in the original version of the questionnaire). "Self-alienation" correlates with "Authentic living" (0,52) and "Accepting external influence" (0,54), "Accepting external influence" positively correlates with "Authentic living" (0.45).

The most reliable were "Self-alienation" ( $\alpha = 0.95$ ) and "Accepting external influence" ( $\alpha = 0.93$ ), and the high level of reliability ( $\alpha = 0.87$ ) was also found on the subscale "Authentic living". Consequently, according to the results of an examination of the reliability of the questionnaire of authenticity in the Ukrainian translation, it has been established that this technique is a reliable tool for the study of authenticity, and the subscales of the questionnaire are consistent with each other.

Using the three-component model of authenticity (similar to the A.M. Wood, P.A. Linley questionnaire), the three factors have a dispersion of 29.60%, 33.42%, and 34.67%, respectively, with each subscale consisting of a variety of allegations - from 6 to 17. When applying the three-factor model, the first and second factors consist mainly of assertions concerning self-awareness and behavior, and the third factor is the objective perception of the surrounding reality. When applying the four-factor model, the first factor relates above all to the sphere of relations, the second factor - mainly behavioral aspects, the third factor - self-awareness and the fourth - an objective assessment of the surrounding reality.

*Table 1*

Factor load for the questionnaire of Authenticity Scale (Wood & Linley, 2007)

Items	Knowledge and acceptance of yourself	Non-limitation of others	Authentic self-expression

1. I think it is better to be yourself, than to be popular	-0,08	0,07	0,55*
2. I don't know how I really feel inside	0,78*	0,01	-0,01
3. I'm worried about the opinions of myself about other people	0,09	0,68*	0,12
4. I usually do what other people tell me to do	0,31	0,73*	0,04
5. I feel pressured to behave in certain way	0,11	0,76*	-0,03
6. I'm dependent on the thoughts of other people	-0,06	0,89*	-0,01
7. I feel as if I don't know myself very well	0,88*	-0,01	0,01
8. I always stand by what I believe in	-0,08	0,02	0,79*
9. I make my own choices in life	0,08	0,01	0,71*
10. I feel out of touch with the "real me"	0,81*	-0,02	0,01
11. I live in accordance with my values and beliefs	0,11	-0,04	0,78*
12. I feel alienated from myself	0,84*	0,03	-0,05

According to the results of the factor analysis, the subscales "knowledge and acceptance of yourself" include the following statements: 2, 7, 10, 12; to subscales "non-limitation of others" - 3, 4, 5, 6, and to the subclass, "authentic self-expression" - 1, 8, 9, 11. All points of the method are calculated by the direct key (only positive factor loadings are detected according to the statements). The factor loading of the subscale statements is quite high (from 0.55 to 0.89). In our study, three polytomic IRT models were applied: Graded response model ('graded'); Generalized partial credit model ('gpcm') and Graded ratings scale model ('grsm') [1]. These models measured latent parameters - the level of authenticity of the respondents, as well as parameters of sub-levels of the indicators of authenticity (test questions) and their differentiation ability. For all three models, there are defined: authenticity parameters, sub-parameters of the indicators of authenticity, least and the highest value of the parameters of authenticity, the corresponding mean and variance, the verification of the parameter of authenticity for normality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov criterion has been performed. The results are presented in Table 2.

*Table 2*

**The value of the authenticity parameters**

Authenticity parameters	Models		
	'graded'	'gpcm'	'grsm'
Min	-3,16409	-2,65395	-2,84885
Max	2,416554	2,473187	2,39694

Mean	-0,0008742234	0,003850627	-0.0012141
SD	0,9184080206	0,905582385	0,89411312
p-value	0,5298	0,5688	0,7443

Based on the calculation and analysis of latent parameters, we can draw the following conclusions.

1. The parameters calculated for the three models are close, so the classification of respondents in the level of authenticity for the three models is approximately the same.

2. Parameters are similar in value to selective characteristics.

3. Distributions of parameters are close to normal with similar parameters. The similarity of latent parameters for different models indicates the reliability of the results of the survey.

4. Analysis of the relevant indicators showed that in indicators with numbers 4,12 certain sublevels are not selected by any respondent. In the indicator 4 - it is 7 sublevels, and 12 - 6th.

5. The parameters of sublayers of indicators sufficiently evenly cover the interval from -5 to +5 logits and have high differentiating ability.

6. Analysis of the ensembles of the characteristic curves of the sub-levels of the indicators of authenticity, which represent the graphs of the dependence of the probability of selecting the appropriate sub-level of the indicator from the level of the respondent's authenticity, showed the similarity of the ensembles of the characteristic curves for all three models.

The results of correlation analysis of authentication questionnaires have shown the existence of significant positive relationships between these techniques, which proves their ability to apply in the comprehensive study of the authenticity of the individual.

Modern descriptions of authenticity or authentic functioning often depict a construct composed of many elements including autonomy, self-awareness, unbiased examination, social embeddedness and behavior congruent with values and beliefs. Being authentic means acting according to oneself in various activity contexts, which leads to the healthy development of individuals, groups, and institutions.

Teachers characterized by a relative balance of all aspects of psychological well-being, but above all a pronounced indicator of " Environmental mastery ". In addition, high rates on "positive relationships", "personal growth", " Purpose in life ", while low scores were found on the scale of "autonomy".

**Conclusions.** As a result of our research, we assume that the Authentication Scale may be refined by inputting for the --- inverse key statements, thus respondents will better understand the issue and, accordingly, the results of the diagnosis will be more accurate. The use of polytomical models has shown that the answer "difficult to answer" does not work effectively in determining the level of authenticity and authenticity of respondents. Accordingly, the scale of responses should be shortened: in the original from 1 to 7, instead it is better to use a scale

from 0 to 3, where 3 means full consent, 2 - weak consent (that is, consent is relative), 1 will express a weak discrepancy and 0 - a complete disagreement. In addition, the use of political models allowed to more accurately classify the level of authenticity of each subject, as well as to quantify the quantitative characteristics of the indicators (questions) of the questionnaire. Thus, we can conclude that the use of political models allowed us to evaluate and adjust the statements of the Authenticity Scale and consider it a valid and reliable instrument of psychological diagnosis. To sum up, translated and adapted Ukrainian versions of the Authenticity Scale can be considered as reliable tools for studying various aspects of the personality's authenticity.

### **Список використаних джерел**

1. Аванесов В.С. Применение тестовых форм в Rasch Measurement / В.С. Аванесов // Педагогические измерения. – 2005. – №4. – С. 3–20.
2. Карданова Е.Ю. Специальные методы анализа результатов тестирования, основанные на свойстве объективности моделей Раша / Е.Ю. Карданова // Информационные технологии. – 2008. – № 4. – С. 72–80.
3. Методологічні закономірності формування автентичності фахівців соціономічних професій: [монографія] / В.Л.Зливков, С.О.Лукомська, С.О. Копилов [та ін.]; за ред.. В.Л. Зливкова. – К., 2017. – 336 с.
4. Нейман Ю.М. Введение в теорию моделирования и параметризации педагогических тестов / Ю.М. Нейман, В.А. Хлебников. – М.: Прометей, 2000. – 169 с.
5. Barrett-Lennard G.T. The Roosevelt years: Crucial milieu for Carl Rogers' innovation / G.T. Barrett-Lennard // History of Psychology. – 2012. – Vol. 15 (1). – P.19–32.
6. Slabu, L., Lenton, A. P., Sedikides, C., & Bruder, M. (2014). Trait and state authenticity across cultures / L.Slabu, A. P.Lenton, C.Sedikides, M.Bruder // Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology. – 2014. – Vol. 45(9). – P. 1347–1373.
7. Wood A.M. The authentic personality: A theoretical and empirical conceptualization and the development of the authenticity scale / A.M.Wood, J.Maltby, M.Caliouis, P.A.Linley, S.Joseph //Journal of Counseling Psychology. – 2008. – Vol. 55. – P. 385–399.

### **References transliterated**

1. Avanesov, V. (2005) *Primenenie testovyh form v Rasch Measurement* [Application of test forms for the Rasch Measurement], *Pedagogicheskie izmereniya* [Pedagogical measurements], no.4, pp. 3–20 (in Russian)
2. Kardanova, E. (2008) *Special'nye metody analiza rezul'tatov testirovaniya, osnovannye na svojstve ob"ektivnosti modelej Rasha* [Special methods for analyzing test results based on the objectivity of Rush models], *Informacionnye tekhnologii* [Information Technologies], no. 6, pp. 72–80 (in Russian)



3. Zlyvkov, V., Lukomska, S. (2017) *Metodologichni zakonomirnosti formuvannya avtenticnosti fahivciv socionomichnih profesij* [Methodological regularities of the formation of the authenticity of specialists in socio-occupational professions], K. 336 p. (in Ukrainian)
4. Neyman, Y., Khlebnikov, V. (2000) *Vvedenie v teoriyu modelirovaniya i parametrizacii pedagogicheskikh testov* [Introduction to the theory of modeling and parametrization of pedagogical tests]. M. 169 p. (in Russian)
5. Barrett-Lennard, G. T. (2012). The Roosevelt years: Crucial milieu for Carl Rogers' innovation. *History of Psychology*, 15 (1), 19–32.
6. Slabu, L., Lenton, A. P., Sedikides, C., & Bruder, M. (2014). Trait and state authenticity across cultures. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 45(9), 1347–1373.
7. Wood, A. M., Joseph, S., & Linley, P. A. (2007). Coping style as a psychological resource of grateful people. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 26, 1108–1125.

**Зливков В.Л., Диховичний О.О., Круглова Н.В., Лукомська С.О., Котух О.В. Автентичність педагогів: результати валідизації опитувальника автентичності з використанням політомічних моделей.** У статті на основі аналізу основних понять сучасної теорії тестування, розглянуто особливості використання політомічних моделей для адаптації зарубіжних психодіагностичних опитувальників. Окреслено поняття автентичності педагогів, зокрема у його зв'язку із професійною ідентичністю і емоційним благополуччям. Охарактеризовано сучасну теорію тестування як набір методів, що дозволяє оцінити ймовірність правильної відповіді досліджуваного на завдання різної складності; її основне призначення полягає в тому аби позбутися неінформативних питань в опитуванні та залишити питання з адекватними балами на основі їх складності, що актуально в процесі адаптації зарубіжних психолого-педагогічних методик. На підставі узагальнення і систематизації теоретико-емпіричних даних зроблено наступні висновки: 1) політомічні моделі застосовуються для завдань, що допускають покрокову інтерпретацію, саме такою методикою є Шкала автентичності А.М. Wood, Р.А. Linley; 2) для адаптації іншомовних опитувальників політомічні моделі використовуються разом із такими методами математичної статистики як експлораторний факторний аналіз (ЕФА), критерій сферичності Барлетта та критерій Кайзера-Майєра-Олкіна; 3) застосування політомічних моделей дозволяє точніше класифікувати рівень автентичності кожного досліджуваного, а також обчислити кількісні характеристики індикаторів (питань) опитувальника.

**Ключові слова:** автентичність педагогів, політомічні моделі, сучасна теорія тестування, психологічна діагностика в освіті, педагогічні вимірювання.

**Зливков В.Л., Дыховичный А.А., Круглова Н.В., Лукомская С.А., Котух Е.В. Использование политомических моделей для адаптации опросника**

*аутентичности педагогов.* В статье на основании анализа основных понятий теории тестирования, рассмотрены особенности использования полиномических моделей для адаптации зарубежных психодиагностических опросников. Проанализировано понятие аутентичности педагогов, в частности в контексте профессиональной идентичности и эмоционального благополучия. Характеризована современная теория тестирования как набор методов, позволяющих оценить вероятность правильного ответа испытуемых на задания разной сложности; ее основное предназначение состоит в том, чтобы избавиться от неинформативных вопросов и оставить вопросы с адекватными баллами на основании их сложности, что особенно актуально в процессе адаптации зарубежных психолого-педагогических методик. На основе обобщения и систематизации теоретико-эмпирических данных сделаны следующие выводы: 1) полиномические модели используются для заданий, допускающих пошаговую интерпретацию, а именно такой методикой является Шкала аутентичности А.М. Wood, Р.А. Linley; 2) для адаптации иноязычных опросников полиномические модели используются вместе с такими методами математической статистики как эксплораторный факторный анализ (ЭФА), критерий сферичности Барлетта и критерий Кайзера-Майера-Олкина; 3) использование полиномических моделей позволяет точнее классифицировать уровень аутентичности каждого испытуемого, а также вычислить количественные характеристики индикаторов (вопросов) методики.

**Ключевые слова:** аутентичность педагогов, полиномические модели, современная теория тестирования, психологическая диагностика в образовании, педагогические измерения.